

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,506	03/28/2001	Dennis Sunga Fernandez	FERN-P001D	8534	
22877 FERNANDEZ	7590 04/04/2007 & ASSOCIATES LLP		EXAMINER		
1047 EL CAMINO REAL			VO, TUNG T		
SUITE 201 MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/823,506	FERNANDEZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tung Vo	2621		

	Tung Vo	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			00000
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	·	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTO)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-37.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-19</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	\neg	
		mun	
		Tung Vo Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 2621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant argued that Moengen comprises a different field of technology as Applicant's endeavor for the purposes of prior art, and Moengen is non-analogous prior art not applicable to a rejection under 103(a), pages 8/12-11/12 of the remarks. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. It is submitted that the application, publication (US 2001/0022615 A1), and Moengen is classified in class 348 that is television. The application is also classified in class 348 and subclass 143 that is observation of or from a specific location (e.g., surveillance), and Moengen is specifically classified under class 348 and subclass 157 that is under observation of or from a specific location (e.g., surveillance), particular in sporting event. This is evidence to show that the application and Moengen are both in the same field of observation of or from a specific location (e.g., surveillance), therefore, they are in the same field of endeavor.

Moengen teaches the method and the system are not necessarily limited to sports reports, but may be applied in a number of other kinds of television transmissions, which are not restricted to fixed and specific areas; and such an application in nature reports may be, e.g., animals which are equipped with radio transmitters and GPS receivers and are followed, e.g., by a helicopter-borne "Steadycam" (see col. 16, lines 5-34). This is evidence to show Moengen's teachings could be used in the animal surveillance system. In view of the discussion above, the application and Moengen are in the same field endeavor, and the Moengen's system could be used in the animal surveillance systems (col. 16, lines 5-34); therefore, Moengen is analogous prior art.

TUNG VO